



Annotated Agenda

February 09, 2015

2. Executive Session – 1 V.S.A. § 313 (a) (1) (A)

Guests: (1) Rocky Martin, Director of Buildings and Facilities
(2) Erik Bailey, Water/Wastewater Superintendent

Enclosures: (1) None.

Note the change in time (6:45 p.m.).

To quote from the annotated agenda for the cancelled 2/2 meeting: “Two motions are required to enter executive session. The first motion is a ‘finding’ that executive session is necessary in accordance with the reasons listed in statute. The second motion authorizes the Board to enter executive session and includes the specific citation of 1 V.S.A § 313. As always, any action to be taken as a result of executive session discussions must be taken in public. Executive session is necessary to discuss negotiating strategy with regards to the possible connection of four residences west of the new wells to Town water and sewer (to improve overall system capacity and broaden the source protection area).”

Consider a motion to find that the premature general public disclosure of the proposed terms of connection to water and wastewater for the owners of the four residences along Shelburne Falls Road west of the site of the new wells would place the municipality at a substantial disadvantage in negotiating those agreements.

Consider a motion to enter executive session, to include the Town Administrator, the Director of Buildings and Facilities, and the Water/Wastewater Superintendent, under the provisions of 1 V.S.A. § 313 (a) (1) (A).

5. Presentation of Preferred Water Treatment Method and Discussion on Water Treatment

Guests: (1) Joe Duncan, Aldrich and Elliott
(2) Rocky Martin, Director of Buildings and Facilities
(3) Erik Bailey, Water/Wastewater Superintendent

Enclosures: (1) Information materials from December 2014 discussion (initial presentation on the preferred treatment system)
(2) Filtration information sheet from Safewater.org
(3) Memo from Joe Duncan, dated 1/30/15
(4) Water Treatment meeting insert for water bills
(5) Feedback from two municipal systems using nanofiltration (Willshire, OH and Waupun, WI)

The “new” items are Joe Duncan’s notes related to two municipal treatment plants (one in Ohio, one in Wisconsin) and their respective experiences with nanofiltration.

To, again, quote from the annotated agenda from the cancelled 2/2 meeting: “The memo from Joe Duncan, dated 1/30, provides a great deal of information on the nanofiltration system proposed and the water treatment method generally. The December 2014 memo contains the comparison with ion exchange systems.”

Scott Shumway of ISI (the St. George-based manufacturer of the nanofiltration system proposed), has been invited to attend.”

No action is anticipated.

6. Consider Adopting a Resolution of Support for LWCF Grant (Bissonette Fields project)

Guests: (1) None.

Enclosures: (1) A copy of the resolution

The grant application is for Federal funds passed through the State of Vermont for recreation and conservation projects such as the Bissonette Fields. The idea is to apply for a maximum grant award – which in this case is an amount equivalent to the Town’s available match (\$281,621.72). The total project cost was estimated at \$630,000 in 2012, a number which has likely increased in the intervening fiscal years.

Part of the application process is that the local legislative body certify by resolution that applicable matching funds are and will be available in the event of a grant award. The resolution breaks the available “funds” down by type:

- \$231,118.49 in investment income, fundraising, and municipal funds.
- \$50,502.23 spent to date on the project.
- \$150,000 in land value.

Consider a motion to adopt the resolution, and to authorize the Town of Hinesburg to apply for grant funds from the Federal Land and Water Conservation Fund.

7. Consider Approving the 2015 Certificate of Highway Mileage

Guests: (1) None.

Enclosures: (1) 2015 Certificate of Highway Mileage

This is the annual filing of the certificate of highway mileage. The certificate is used to determine State aid payments and informs the “official” map created by VTrans.

Consider a motion to approve the 2015 Certificate of Highway Mileage.

8. Review and discuss Town Meeting budget assignments

Guests: (1) None.

Enclosures: (1) Proposed 2015 Town Meeting Budget Assignments and Framework memo

- (2) Revised FY16 Budget memo (to reflect as adopted)
- (3) Proposed FY16 budget (“final”)
- (4) FY16 Budget pie charts
- (5) 2016 Tax Rate breakdown (estimated), including schools.

The bullets attempt to hit the “highlights” for each category as they were split up during the 1/26 discussion, and potential Power Point slides are identified.

No action is anticipated.

9. Town Administrator’s Report

- a. Water/Wastewater Infrastructure and Development in the Village** – Given the feedback from Tuesday night’s DRB discussion, it sounds as though this is as conversation to be scheduled for an upcoming meeting. Monday night could serve as a way to prepare for that conversation, while identifying any research that needs to be done.
- b. FY14 Audit** – The final version is available. Copies will be at your places Monday evening. The letter of transmittal is included in the meeting folder.
- c. Road Salt supply** – Highlighted by a recent story on Rutland City’s salt woes, the supply of road salt (and winter sand) may become an issue. VLCT is looking into the challenges that may be percolating with regards to salt availability. Most municipalities (including Hinesburg) do not have the facilities to store an entire winter’s supply of salt, hence the need to resupply during winter.
- d. Creekside Community Association Stormwater Agreement** – The developer (Milot/Grabowski) has transferred ownership of the detention pond to the association. Creation and execution of an agreement between the association and the Town related to responsibilities for discharge (and associated State permit fees), maintenance, and liability has long been the intended next step. Town Attorney Bud Allen is reviewing the agreement and drafting an easement. The Town has paid its portion of the permit charges (\$32.80). The Creekside Community Association Executive Board has been scheduled to attend the 2/23 meeting to discuss the agreement.
- e. Stormwater Regulations** – Stormwater regulations forwarded to the Selectboard by the Planning Commission will need to be addressed prior to the 7/23/15 “deadline.” The memo from Alex (dated 11/05/14) sums up the process succinctly. The materials in the meeting folder were provided in December as well. From a timing perspective, this will likely be on the Board’s docket for the first meeting after Town Meeting.
- f. Hannaford update** – Mediation, involving representatives of Hannaford, Hinesburg Responsible Growth, and the Town Attorney, was held in Burlington on 2/2. It does not sound like the attempt to mediate proved fruitful on that snowy Monday. A status conference is scheduled amongst the involved parties for 2/9.
- g. Rutland Town solar facility siting guidelines** – Rutland Town’s solar facility siting standards are in the folder for this week’s meeting, along with a VT Digger article from March 2014 on a similar discussion occurring last year in the Statehouse. The article helps highlight the push/pull between achieving statewide goals related to renewable energy and local involvement (and even desired deference) in the permitting process.

- h. Engineering Analysis for VT Route 116/Charlotte Road/Lantman's intersection** – Alex was able to find an engineering quote related to the project. That quote, from last year, is included in the meeting folder.